

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,045	09/20/2001	Thierry Gallet	SYL 531	SYL 531 4652	
27546	7590 01/11/2005		EXAMINER		
SANOFI-SYNTHELABO INC.			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
9 GREAT VALLEY PARKWAY P.O. BOX 3026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MALVERN,	PA 19355		1624		
			B. WE 14. W. ED. 0141.000	DATE MARIED ALGUADOS	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/937,045	GALLET ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
_		Brenda Coleman	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 (<u> October 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)□	,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s)is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi		-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	· 					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(DTO 412)			
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/937,045 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 3-10 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed October 22, 2004.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed October 22, 2004 have been fully considered with the following effect:

1. With regards to the 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejections labeled paragraph 1 maintained in the last office action, the applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The applicants' stated that claim 1, as amended, provides that the group "nitro" is a possible alternative for only the R₃ substituent. The applicants' also stated that the specification clearly sets forth that "nitro" is a possible R₃ substituent (see table on page 9 of the specification), surely it cannot be said that Applicants were not in possession of the claimed invention at the time the instant application was filed. However, the definition of X, n, R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄ and R₅ on page 9 is part of a table of specific species, with specific definitions for each of the variables, not the description of the genus of Formula I. While the applicants may possess that one species where X is O; n is 0; R₁ is H; R₂ is H; R₃ is nitro; R₄ is H; and R₅ is H, but at no time did the applicants have possession of species where the variables are other than those disclosed for example 6. A single species where R₃ is nitro does not provide for a genus, which includes the nitro. For example none of the following species are supported and/or contemplated where R₃ is nitro, however, with the applicants claim 1 as amended no embraces, i.e.

Art Unit: 1624

X is NH (as in example 8), n is 0, R_1 is H, R_2 is H, R_3 is nitro, R_4 is H, and R_5 is H; X is NCH₃ (as in example 10), n is 0, R_1 is H, R_2 is H, R_3 is nitro, R_4 is H, and R_5 is H; X is O, n is 1 (as in example 9), R_1 is H, R_2 is H, R_3 is nitro, R_4 is H, and R_5 is H; etc.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

2. With regards to the 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejections labeled paragraph 2 maintined in the last office action, the applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The applicants' stated that the description of the 23 examples in the table amounts in aggregate to the subgenus of claims 4 and 6 and such species are representative of the entire subgenus herein claimed. However, the definition of X, n, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 and R_5 as set forth in the table on page 9 is a table of specific species, with specific definitions for each of the variables, not the description of a subgenus of Formula I.

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,045

Art Unit: 1624

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

January 6, 2005